



International Journal of Artificial Intelligence and Machine Learning

Publisher's Home Page: <https://www.svedbergopen.com/>



Research Paper

Open Access

Automatic Bone Cancer Detection: An Extensive Survey

¹Manisha Sachin Dabade, ²Anis Fatima Najeem Mulla, ³Dr Kalpana Sanjay Pawase, ⁴Anisa Bashir Shikalgar, ⁵Shital M. Shrirao, ⁶Swapnali Aitwade, ⁷Amruta M.Chimanna

¹Assistant Professor, Department of Computer Science and Engineering, Walchand College of Engineering, Sangli, Maharashtra, India.

E-mail: manisha.dabade@walchandsangli.ac.in

²Assistant Professor, Department of Computer science and engineering, Annasaheb Dange College of Engineering and technology Ashta, Maharashtra, India. E-mail: mulla.anis@gmail.com

³Assistant Professor, Department of Electronics and Telecommunication Engineering, MIT Academy of Engineering, Alandi (D), Pune-412 105, Maharashtra, India. E-mail: kalpanapawase306@gmail.com

⁴Assistant Professor, Department of Computer science and engineering, Annasaheb Dange College of Engineering and technology Ashta, Maharashtra, India. E-mail: annu.shikalgar@gmail.com

⁵Department of Computer Engineering, BRAC's, Vishwakarma Institute of Information Technology (Affiliated to Savitribai Phule Pune University), Pune, India. E-mail: shital.221p0058@viit.ac.in

⁶Assistant Professor, Department of Computer Science and Engineering, Walchand College of Engineering, Sangli, Maharashtra, India.

E-mail: swapnali.aitwade@walchandsangli.ac.in

⁷Assistant Professor, Department of Computer Science and Engineering, Walchand College of Engineering, Sangli, Maharashtra, India.

E-mail: amruta.umrani@walchandsangli.ac.in

Abstract

Bone cancer is a range of complexities and diversities of malignancies which stem from bone itself (primary bone cancer) or from other parts of the body (secondary bone cancer). The early diagnosis and proper identification of bone cancer is essential to proper treatment and patient care. This survey paper covers the wide range of bone cancer detection techniques covering both primary and secondary bone cancer. It describes the different types of primary bone cancer (osteosarcoma, Ewing sarcoma, chondrosarcoma and chordoma), their clinical features, prevalence and the problems with their diagnosis. In addition, secondary bone cancer is discussed, with particular emphasis on the most common primary cancers that secondarily involve the bones – breast cancer, lung cancer, prostate cancer, and kidney cancer. Conventional imaging techniques, emerging imaging techniques, biomarkers, radiomics, machine learning techniques and genetic markers are explored in detail. The current challenges, progress and future outlook in bone cancer detection are also discussed. It is aimed at providing a valuable resource for researchers, clinicians and healthcare professionals in the diagnosis and management of bone cancer with the aim of improving early detection, making a more accurate diagnosis and improving the individual approach to treatment.

1. Introduction

Today, medical area has witnessed rapid growth of automation technology. Still, diagnosis system is not adequate for increased variety of diseases. Cancer disease spread across the world and it is beyond the control. Thus, bone cancer is becoming common and its detection is complicated due to its complex features. WHO report states that cancer is the reason for death of 9.2 million people in the year 2020(WHO 2020). There was 0.8 percent of primary bone cancer is reported . From these observations one can understand that bone disease is rampant across the world like any other epidemic. Therefore, research in bone cancer is indispensable to save millions of people.

A. Overview of Bone Formation

one and cartilage are specialized connective tissues composed of cellular components embedded within an extracellular matrix. Bone contains three principal cell types: osteoblasts, osteocytes, and osteoclasts. Osteoblasts are responsible for synthesizing new bone tissue by producing osteoid, which subsequently undergoes mineralization and becomes mature bone. During the process of bone formation, some osteoblasts become enclosed within the newly formed matrix and differentiate into osteocytes. Osteocytes are therefore the predominant cells in fully developed bone and play an important role in maintaining the integrity of the bone matrix. In contrast, osteoclasts are large multinucleated cells located primarily along bone surfaces. They participate in bone resorption and are essential for normal bone remodeling, reconstruction, and maintenance of skeletal tissue.

Cartilage is another form of supportive connective tissue characterized by its combination of strength, flexibility, and a relatively rigid structure. Its cellular components primarily include chondroblasts and chondrocytes, which are embedded within a specialized extracellular matrix. Chondroblasts contribute to the production of cartilage

matrix, whereas mature chondrocytes are responsible for maintaining the existing tissue and its structural properties.

B. Diagnostic Bone Pathology

The cytological assessment of bone tumors relies substantially on the characteristics and morphological appearance of bone-associated cells, including osteoblasts, osteocytes, osteoclasts, chondroblasts, and chondrocytes. The classification and assessment of a tumor generally involve several microscopic characteristics, such as cellular atypia, nuclear pleomorphism, mitotic activity, cellular density, and the pattern of tissue proliferation. Pathologists evaluate these features to establish the nature of a lesion and determine its degree of malignancy.

Distinguishing benign cartilage abnormalities from malignant tumors such as chondrosarcoma can be particularly challenging. The diagnosis depends on multiple factors, including the quality and organization of the cartilage, the extent of structural abnormalities, cellular characteristics, and evidence of infiltrative or uncontrolled growth. Reliance solely on visual examination and subjective microscopic interpretation may make this distinction difficult, particularly when the morphological differences between benign and malignant lesions are subtle. Consequently, accurate diagnosis can benefit from the combined interpretation of pathological observations and radiological findings.

The heterogeneous appearance of osteosarcoma further increases the complexity of histopathological diagnosis. Different morphological variants may exhibit considerably different cellular patterns, making consistent classification difficult. In particular, small-cell osteosarcoma can share several morphological characteristics with Ewing sarcoma, creating a diagnostic challenge when cellular features are considered in isolation. Similarly, the cellular and architectural characteristics observed in giant cell tumors of bone may vary considerably between specimens.

Additional histological findings that may occur in bone tumors include osteoid deposition, fibroblastic regions, aggregates of foamy cells, and areas of cystic or degenerative change. Stromal cells and osteoclast-like giant cells may also form part of the reactive cellular environment surrounding the lesion. The considerable variation in cellular morphology and tissue architecture demonstrates the need for reliable and reproducible diagnostic approaches.

Deep learning-based image analysis provides a potential approach for assisting the classification of bone pathology. Such methods generally require substantial numbers of annotated images to learn meaningful morphological patterns. Image augmentation can be employed to increase the effective diversity of the training dataset by generating modified versions of existing images. In this study, the proposed approach focuses on automatically classifying bone histopathological images into three broad categories: **normal, benign, and malignant**. This classification framework is intended to support the identification of pathological patterns and improve the consistency of computer-assisted bone tumor analysis.

2. TYPES OF BONECANCER

Here is a detailed discussion of the types of bone cancer, including primary and secondary bone cancer:

2.1. Primary Bone Cancer

Primary bone cancer originates in the bone itself and includes various subtypes.

a. Osteosarcoma:

Osteosarcoma is the most common primary bone cancer, primarily affecting children and young adults. It typically occurs in the long bones of the arms and legs. Osteosarcoma arises from immature bone cells and is characterized by aggressive and rapid growth. Symptoms may include pain, swelling, and fractures. According to statistics, osteosarcoma accounts for about 3% of all childhood cancers [Mirabello L, et al. (2009)].

b. Ewing sarcoma:

Ewing sarcoma primarily affects children and adolescents. It commonly occurs in the pelvis, thigh bones, and chest wall. Ewing sarcoma is characterized by a specific genetic abnormality called the EWSR1-FLI1 fusion gene. Symptoms may include localized pain, swelling, and bone tenderness. Ewing sarcoma is relatively rare, accounting for about 1% of childhood cancers [Grier HE, et al. (2003)].

c. Chondrosarcoma:

Chondrosarcoma arises from cartilage cells. It typically occurs in older adults and develops in the bones of the pelvis, upper legs, and shoulders. Chondrosarcoma is characterized by slow growth and can be low-grade or high-grade. Symptoms may not be evident until the cancer reaches an advanced stage. It is the second most common primary bone malignancy after osteosarcoma [Gelderblom H, et al. (2018)].

d. Chordoma:

Chordoma is an unusual form of bone tumour that originates from the remnants of the notochord, a structure that develops from the embryo. It is typically found in the base of the skull or bones of the back. Chordomas are slow-growing, but may be locally aggressive. Symptoms can be pain, neurological abnormalities, and bowel or bladder dysfunction. Chordoma is a primary malignant bone tumour that only makes up about 1-4% of all primary

malignant bone tumours[: McMaster ML, et al. (2012)].

2.2. Secondary Bone Cancer:

Secondary bone cancer, or metastatic bone cancer, occurs when cancer cells from other parts of the body spread to the bones.

a. Breast cancer:

Breast cancer frequently metastasizes to the bones, particularly the spine, ribs, and long bones. Bone metastases in breast cancer may cause bone pain, fractures, and other complications.

3. CURRENT DIAGNOSTIC METHODS OF BONE CANCER DETECTION

Current diagnostic methods for bone cancer detection involve a combination of clinical evaluation, imaging techniques, and laboratory tests. Here's a description of these methods along with their limitations:

3.1. Medical History and Physical Examination

Description: A detailed medical history is taken and a physical examination is performed to evaluate the symptoms, risk factors and any bone abnormalities. This involves checking for pain, swelling, range of motion and bone deformities. Limitations: History and physical examination are important initial clues, but not definitive diagnosis.

3.2. Imaging Techniques:

3.2.1. X-rays (Radiography):

X-rays use electromagnetic radiation to make images of the bones. They can show where the bones are destroyed, where bones are growing in an abnormal way or where tumors are present. Limits: X-rays may not show a small tumour or a bone cancer at the early stages. They may be unable to distinguish benign from malignant tumors and are able to see only bones, giving little information about soft tissues.

3.2.2. Computed Tomography (CT):

Description: A CT scan is an X-ray that is processed to form a detailed cross-sectional image of the body. They give more information on bone structures and can help the visualization of the size, shape and location of bone tumors. Limitations: CT scans can sometimes be difficult to use to distinguish between different types of tumors, such as needing to differentiate between benign and malignant, or not being able to detect the tumor when it does not obviously alter the bones. CT scans expose patients to the risk of exposure to ionizing radiation, a small risk, particularly when repeated scans are performed.

3.2.3. Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI):

MRIs are machines that produce a detailed image of the bones and the soft tissues around them, using powerful magnets and radio waves. They are especially useful to assess bone marrow, adjacent soft tissues, and the degree of bone involvement. Restrictions: MRIs can sometimes be not sufficiently accurate in distinguishing benign tumors from malignant tumors from their appearances. They may not be sensitive to small tumours or lesions which have not dramatically altered the bone structure. MRIs will make the person lie down still, and he or she should not move because this will cause blurriness.

3.3. Biopsy:

A small sample of bone is taken and examined under a microscope. It is useful for identifying cancer cells, establishing the type and grade of bone cancer and to help inform treatment.

Limitations: Biopsy is an invasive procedure and carries risks such as bleeding and infection. There is a possibility of sampling error or inadequate tissue for analysis. Additionally, biopsies are not always feasible for tumors in certain locations or patients with contraindications.

3.4. Laboratory Tests:

3.4.1. Blood tests:

Blood tests may be conducted to evaluate specific markers or substances in the blood that could indicate the presence of bone cancer or other abnormalities.

Limitations: Blood tests may indicate general markers of inflammation or abnormal cell activity, but they cannot definitively diagnose bone cancer. They are typically used as supportive tests and not as stand-alone diagnostic tools for bone cancer.

3.4.2. Bone Scans:

Bone scans involve the injection of a small amount of radioactive material into the bloodstream. This material accumulates in areas of increased bone activity, such as those affected by cancer. Special cameras are used to detect the radiation and create images of the bones.

Limitations: Bone scans are sensitive but nonspecific. They can detect areas of increased bone activity but do not provide detailed anatomical information or distinguish between benign and malignant causes of increased activity.

3.5. Positron Emission Tomography (PET) Scan:

PET scans involve the injection of a small amount of radioactive glucose (sugar) into the bloodstream, which is taken up by cancer cells with high metabolic activity. PET scans can detect areas of increased glucose uptake, indicating the presence of cancerous lesions.

Limitations: PET scans are highly sensitive but can be nonspecific. Increased metabolic activity can be seen in

various conditions other than cancer.

Diagnostic Method	Description	Limitations
Medical History	Obtaining patient's medical history and risk assessment	Symptoms may be nonspecific and overlap with other conditions. Small or deep-seated tumors may not be detectable during physical examination alone.
X-rays	Use of X-rays to visualize bone and detect abnormalities	May not detect small tumors or early-stage bone cancers. Limited ability to differentiate between benign and malignant tumors.
CT scans	Cross-sectional imaging of bones using X-rays	Challenges in distinguishing between benign and malignant tumors. Exposure to ionizing radiation carries a small risk.
MRI	Detailed imaging of bones and surrounding soft tissues	Limited sensitivity to small tumors or lesions. Blurred images due to patient movement.
Biopsy	Removal and examination of a sample of bone tissue	Invasive procedure with risks of bleeding and infection. Possibility of sampling error or inadequate tissue.
Blood tests	Evaluation of specific markers or substances in the blood	Blood tests may not definitively diagnose bone cancer. They are supportive and not stand-alone diagnostic tools.
Bone Scans	Injection of radioactive material to visualize bone activity	Limited anatomical information and inability to differentiate between benign and malignant causes of increased activity.
PET Scan	Injection of radioactive glucose to detect metabolic activity	Increased metabolic activity can be seen in conditions other than cancer, leading to potential false-positive results.

4. ADVANCEMENTS IN IMAGING TECHNIQUES FOR BONE CANCER DETECTION

Advancements in imaging techniques have played a crucial role in improving the early detection and accurate diagnosis of bone cancer. Here are some emerging imaging modalities and their potential benefits:

4.1. PET-CT (Positron Emission Tomography – Computed Tomography)

PET-CT is a fusion of functional information obtained from PET with the anatomical information obtained from CT. It involves administering a radioactive material with positrons to the patient's bloodstream. The tracer is taken up by regions of increased metabolic activity, including areas of cancer, and can be identified by a PET scanner. A CT scan gives detailed anatomical information about these metabolic changes. Potential Benefits: PET-CT has some advantages over individual PET or CT scans, such as greater sensitivity and specificity. It can help detect small bone metastases or primary bone tumors, assists to correct staging and differentiate benign from malignant. PET and CT provide patient-specific information that is more helpful for diagnosis and treatment planning.

4.2. SPECT (Single Photon Emission Computed Tomography)

In SPECT imaging, a radioactive tracer which emits single photons is injected into the patient's blood stream. The tracer is absorbed by bone tissues and the emitted photons are detected by a gamma camera, which generates 3D images of the bones. Potential applications: SPECT has demonstrated its usefulness in the detection of bone metastases and in determining the extent of bone involvement in bone cancers. It is more sensitive than standard bone scans, which are able to find smaller lesions. Further, SPECT can offer functional data on bone metabolism, which is useful to plan treatments and to track the outcome of therapy.

4.3. Molecular Imaging

Molecular imaging uses special molecules or probes (called 'molecular markers' or 'molecular processes') that recognize a specific type of cancer. The probes are marked with radioactive substances which can be detected by special imaging systems. Potential Benefits: Molecular imaging techniques (targeted PET imaging or fluorescence imaging) may provide detailed information on the biological characteristics of bone tumors. They can detect specific

molecular markers that are associated with bone cancer, which allows for a precise characterization, selection of therapy and observation of therapeutic response. The use of molecular imaging techniques is constantly developing and may be useful for personalized medicine in the treatment of bone cancer. These new imaging techniques have great promise to enhance early detection and precise diagnosis of bone cancer. They can offer details on both the function and anatomy, which increases the ability to detect tiny lesions, distinguish between benign and malignant tumors, accurately stage the disease, and make treatment decisions.

5. BIOMARKERS FOR BONECANCER DETECTION:

Biomarkers are substances that can be measured in the body that give clues about the presence of a disease or the progress of the disease. For bone cancer, certain biomarkers have been investigated for their potential in diagnosis. We will further look into the use of alkaline phosphatase (ALP) and lactate dehydrogenase (LDH) as a biomarker for detection of bone cancer and discuss the problems and progress in the detection method based on biomarkers:

5.1. Alkaline Phosphatase (ALP):

ALP is an enzyme present in different tissues, such as bone, liver and intestine. An increased blood serum level of ALP might be a sign of bone remodeling and bone activity in bone cancer. In Diagnosis: ALP levels are usually measured as part of a routine blood test in people suspected of having bone cancer. High ALP may be a sign of bone tumours and may lead to further investigation. Limitations: Elevated ALP levels can also be seen in other conditions, such as liver disease and bone fractures. Although ALP is elevated in people with bone cancer, it is also raised in those with other types of cancer. So it's not specific to bone cancer, and must be confirmed by other tests like imaging or biopsies.

5.2. Lactate Dehydrogenase (LDH):

an enzyme that plays a role in the production of energy within cells. Increased levels of LDH can be correlated with increased cell turnover and tumor growth. Diagnostic uses: LDH can be used as a marker of the activity of the disease in bone cancer. LDH levels can be used to track over time whether treatment is working or the disease is progressing. Limitations: As with ALP, high LDH levels may be seen in many other conditions such as liver disease, other cancers and some medications. Using only LDH is not specific to bone cancer; it should be combined with other diagnostic techniques.

5.3. Challenges and Advancements in Biomarker-Based Detection Methods:

5.3.1. Specificity and Sensitivity: One of the key issues with the detection using bio markers is to maintain a high level of specificity (ability to accurately detect bone cancer) and sensitivity (ability to detect bone cancer at an early stage). To prevent false positive or false negative results, bio markers should be specific to bone cancer.

5.3.2. Validation and Standardization: Biomarker detection methods should be validated and standardized to guarantee repeatability and trustworthiness in various labs and clinical environments. This includes widespread testing of the performance of the biomarkers in different populations and comparison to the current gold standard diagnosis.

5.3.3. Multiple Biomarkers: Use of multiple biomarkers or biomarker panels could increase the accuracy of the diagnosis. An array of several different biomarkers, genetic markers, or gene expression profiles are being investigated to enhance the specificity and sensitivity in the diagnosis of bone cancer.

5.3.4. Advancements in Technology: The ability to identify novel biomarkers for bone cancer is being advanced through the use of advances in technology, such as high throughput screening methods and molecular profiling techniques. These technologies can analyze multiple biomarkers at the same time, thus giving a more complete picture of the disease.

5.3.5. Liquid Biopsies: Liquid biopsies are performed on blood samples for the presence of blood markers, including circulating tumor DNA (ctDNA) or circulating tumor cells (CTCs). These non-invasive techniques can help to obtain information that is real-time with regard to the presence and the nature of the bone cancer, as well as information regarding the response to treatment and the detection of the presence of minimal residual disease. Biomarkers are promising for bone cancer diagnosis, however they can not be used in isolation. Currently, biomarkers are used mainly as supporting tools alongside the clinical assessment, imaging and histopathological analysis. In the future, the discovery and validation of new biomarkers are likely to further enhance the detection accuracy and clinical usefulness of bone cancer detection methods based on biomarkers.

6. RADIOMICS AND MACHINE LEARNING: FOR BONECANCER DETECTION

Radiomics and machine learning algorithms have emerged as powerful tools in the field of bone cancer detection. Here's an explanation of their application and their potential in improving diagnostic accuracy and personalized treatment approaches:

6.1. Radiomics:

Radiomics involves the extraction of a large number of quantitative features from medical images, such as CT scans or MRIs. These features capture information related to the tumor's shape, texture, and spatial relationships.

Application: Radiomics analysis involves advanced image processing, statistical modeling. To analyze the features extracted. In recognizing nuances and relationships among the pictures, radiomics can help differentiate between

benign and malignant bone lesions, and predict tumor progression and Behavior, treatment response.

Potential Benefits: Radiomics can give information beyond what is available to the naked eye. Could aid in diagnosis by measuring minute image characteristics, may not be easily visible. Additionally, the non-invasive characterization of bone is possible with radiomics ,tumors aiding in treatment planning and monitoring.

6.2. Machine Learning Algorithms:

Machine learning algorithms consist of algorithms that are designed to learn patterns and relationships from data. Make predictions or classifications from data about relations. Machine learning systems can be trained using extensive datasets of X-rays of bone cancers.

Application: Use imaging and clinical data to detect patterns that are associated with bone cancer. These algorithms can then be applied new patient data to give diagnostic predictions or risk assessments.

Potential Benefits: Machine learning algorithms have the potential to enhance the accuracy and the efficiency of diagnosis of bonecancer. They can help radio logists by automatically or computer-aided analysis of medical images, minimizing interpretation variability and improving diagnosis consistency. Machine learning also has the capacity to correlate multiple sources of data, such as imaging, biomarkers and clinical information, to facilitate individual treatment strategies and prediction of outcomes.

6.3. Improving Diagnostic Accuracy:

Radiomics and machine learning algorithms can help analyze complex patterns and features from medical images that may not be easily recognizable to human observers by extracting and integrating different modalities into one. These methods can consume large amounts of data and are able to see very subtle changes in the nature of a signal. The imaging properties of bone cancer which enables early and accurate diagnosis.

6.4. Personalized Treatment Approaches

Radiomics and machine learning algorithms can help in a personalized treatment approach. Integrating several data sources such as imaging, clinical data and molecular markers. They are used to aid in predicting the outcome of treatment, in assessing the aggressiveness of the tumour and in choosing treatment. They can include patient-specific features and help to develop customized treatment plans to make the most of their individual characteristics to maximize effectiveness with a minimum of side effects

6.5. Challenges:

Difficulties in implementing the use of radiomics and machine learning in bone cancer detection. Add the need for solid and varied data sets, standardized image acquisition, and Independent cohort validation of models. Furthermore, the clinical applications of radiomics and machine learning must be thoroughly investigated, assessed and tested for reliability, reproducibility and clinical utility.

7. Methods of bone cancer detection using machine learning:

7.1.Deep Learning:

In medical field, deep learning methods have yielded significant success in different studies, and the detection and diagnosis of bone cancer. Let's take a look at some of the deep learning techniques is commonly employed in the diagnosis of bone cancer:

7.1.1. Convolutional Neural Networks (CNNs):

CNNs are extensively employed in image categorization problems such as medical image analysis. In the In the field of bone cancer detection, CNNs can be trained to analyze medical images like X-rays, CT scans, or MRI scans to make a diagnosis MRI scans. The network learns to automatically extract relevant features from the images and classify them as either cancerous or healthy.

7.1.2. TransferLearning:

Transfer learning: Use a deep learning model that has already been trained on large image data sets for generic image recognition problems. Examples of such models include VGGNet, ResNet, or GoogleNet models. After having digested a lot of data, Inception has learnt various features. By fine-tuning these models on If a smaller set of images of bone cancer is provided, the network can learn and adapt to recognize specific bones showing patterns that can be associated with bone cancer.

7.1.3. Recurrent Neural Networks (RNNs):

The RNNs are typically employed in the analysis of sequential data like time series or text data. In the RNNs can be used to process sequential information like, longitudinal imaging studies or patient medical records. By modelling temporal dependencies, RNNs can be used for predicting or detecting cancer progression.

7.1.4. Generative AdversarialNetworks (GANs):

GANs are composed of a generator and a discriminator network, which work together in a competitive manner. One of the potential applications of GANs is to create synthetic medical images that are very similar to real images look like the pictures of real bone cancer. This can be used to complement small datasets, generate synthetic data for training, or aid in data augmentation techniques.

7.1.5. Attention Mechanisms

Attention mechanisms are developed to enhance the attention of the critical areas or features within an image. These can be used as filters in CNN to highlight areas in medical images that are more informative for bone cancer

detection. This can enhance the we direct the attention of deep learning models to relevant areas, which improves their performance.

The deep learning techniques used for bone cancer detection with its advantages and disadvantages are given below in the table.

Deep Learning Technique	Description	Advantages	Disadvantages
Convolutional Neural Networks (CNNs)	CNNs analyze medical images (e.g., X-rays, CT scans, MRI scans) to automatically extract features and classify them as cancerous or healthy.	1. High accuracy in image classification tasks. Automatically learns relevant features from images without manual feature engineering. 2. Can handle high dimensional image data effectively.	1. Requires a large amount of labeled data for training. 2. Prone to overfitting if the dataset is small or imbalanced. 3. Computationally intensive, requiring powerful hardware and longer training times.
Transfer Learning	Leveraging pre-trained models (e.g., VGGNet, ResNet, Inception) trained on general image recognition tasks and fine-tuning them on bone cancer images for specific pattern detection.	1. Allows for effective use of pre-existing knowledge from large-scale datasets. 2. Reduces the need for large labelled datasets by leveraging pre-trained weights.	1. The pre-trained model might not be specifically optimized for bone cancer detection, requiring careful fine-tuning. 2. Fine-tuning process requires expertise to balance the transfer of learned knowledge and adapt to the specific bone cancer detection task.
Recurrent Neural Networks (RNNs)	RNNs analyze sequential data (e.g., patient records, longitudinal imaging studies) to capture temporal dependencies and aid in predicting or detecting cancer progression.	1. Captures temporal dependencies and patterns in sequential data. 2. Can incorporate longitudinal patient data for personalized cancer progression analysis. 3. Suitable for time series analysis of patient data over time.	1. RNNs can suffer from vanishing or exploding gradients, affecting training and performance. 2. Sequential data may require preprocessing and normalization to handle missing values and variable-length sequences. 3. Computationally intensive, especially with large sequences and complex architectures.
Generative Adversarial Networks (GANs)	GANs can generate synthetic medical images resembling real bone cancer images, which can augment limited datasets or aid in data augmentation techniques.	1. Can generate synthetic images that mimic real bone cancer images, expanding the dataset for training. 2. Augments limited datasets, allowing for improved model generalization.	1. Generating high-quality and realistic synthetic images can be challenging. 2. Requires careful evaluation and validation of the generated synthetic images' quality and similarity to real images.
		3. Helps in data augmentation, reducing overfitting and improving model robustness.	

Attention Mechanisms	Incorporating attention mechanisms into CNN architectures to selectively emphasize informative regions in medical images for bone cancer detection.	1. Selectively emphasizes relevant regions in medical images, improving accuracy. Can help in localizing cancerous regions within images.	1. Complex attention mechanisms may require additional computational resources. 2. Designing effective attention mechanisms may require
----------------------	---	--	--

7.2. Transfer learning: Transfer learning is a powerful technique that leverages pre-trained models on large datasets to improve the performance of models in new, related tasks with limited labeled data. In the context of automatic bone cancer detection, transfer learning can be applied in several ways:

7.2.1. Feature Extraction: In this approach, the pre-trained models, typically deep learning models such as Convolutional Neural Networks (CNNs), are used as feature extractors. The pre-trained models have learned general visual representations from large datasets like ImageNet. Instead of training the entire model from scratch, the weights of the pre-trained model are frozen, and the bone cancer detection task-specific layers are added on top. The bone cancer images are passed through the pre-trained model to obtain high-level features, which are then fed into a new classifier for bone cancer detection. Fine-tuning of these added layers can be performed to adapt the model to the specific bone cancer detection task.

7.2.2. Fine-tuning: Fine-tuning extends the feature extraction approach by updating some of the pre-trained model's weights during training. Instead of keeping all the pre-trained model's weights frozen, specific layers or a portion of the model can be unfrozen and further trained using the labeled bone cancer data. This allows the model to learn task-specific representations while retaining the knowledge learned from the pre-training. Fine-tuning can be performed on CNNs or other deep learning models, adjusting the weights based on the specific bone cancer detection task.

7.2.3. Domain Adaptation: Domain adaptation is employed when the distribution of the labeled bone cancer data differs from the distribution of the data used to pre-train the model. In bone cancer detection, the pre-trained model might have been trained on general image datasets, whereas the labeled bone cancer data might come from a specific medical imaging source. Domain adaptation techniques aim to bridge this domain gap and transfer the knowledge learned from the pre-training to the target domain. Approaches such as adversarial training or domain-specific fine-tuning can be used to adapt the model to the target domain.

7.2.4. Multi-Task Learning: Multi-task learning involves training a model to perform multiple related tasks simultaneously. In the context of bone cancer detection, multi-task learning can involve training the model to perform additional related tasks, such as segmenting bone structures or predicting other types of cancer. By jointly learning these tasks, the model can leverage the shared representations and improve the performance in bone cancer detection, even with limited labeled data.

7.2.5. Knowledge Distillation: Knowledge distillation is a technique where the knowledge learned by a complex, well-performing model (teacher model) is transferred to a smaller, more lightweight model (student model). For the task of bone cancer detection, a large pre-trained model can be used as the teacher model, and the training of the small model can be used for the bone cancer detection task. The student model is able to replicate the teacher model's predictions, which allows the transfer of knowledge. This enables good performance on resource-constrained devices, with efficient inference. The aim of this automatic bone cancer detection is to make use of the existing knowledge from large scale images and the idea is called Transfer Learning. It can be used to help reduce the problems caused by the lack of labeled data, enhance the performance of the models, and speed up the creation of a reliable bone cancer detection system. Nevertheless, validation of the performance of the transfer learning models with an independent dataset is imperative and meticulous assessment of their performance in real world clinical settings is required.

Transfer Learning Method	Description	Advantages	Disadvantages
	Use pre-trained models as feature extractors, keeping the weights	1. Leveraging pre-trained models for efficient feature extraction	1. Limited adaptability of pre-trained features to the specific bone cancer detection task

Feature Extraction	frozen, and adding task-specific layers	2. Faster training and inference as only the added layers are trained	
		3. Effective when pre-trained models capture relevant general visual	
Fine-tuning	Update some or all of the pre-trained model's weights during training to adapt to the bone cancer detection task	1. Ability to learn task-specific features while retaining knowledge from pre-training.	1. Requires more labeled data for fine-tuning
		2. Potential for improved performance compared to feature extraction alone.	2. Prone to overfitting when the labeled data is limited
Domain Adaptation	Bridge the domain gap between pre-training and the target domain using	1. Adapt the model to the target domain with different data distributions	1. Requires a large amount of labeled data from the target domain to achieve effective domain adaptation
	techniques like adversarial training	2. Address the limitations caused by domain shift	2. Complex to implement and may introduce additional computational overhead
Multi-Task Learning	Train the model to perform multiple related tasks simultaneously, leveraging shared representations	1. Shared representations improve model generalization	1. Requires additional labeled data and annotations for the related tasks
		2. Can help in joint learning of tasks that may have shared underlying features	2. Increased model complexity and potential interference between the tasks
Knowledge Distillation	Transfer knowledge from a large, well-performing model to a smaller, lightweight model	1. Enable efficient inference on resource-constrained devices	1. Knowledge transfer may result in some loss of accuracy compared to the teacher model
		2. Compression of a large model into a smaller model without significant performance degradation	2. Relies on the availability of a well-performing teacher model and the need for a separate training process.

7.3. Ensemble Learning :

There are three methods for ensemble learning in automatic bone cancer detection:

7.3.1. Voting Ensemble:

- In a voting ensemble, multiple independent models are trained on the same dataset using different algorithms or configurations.
- Each model is trained on the training data and learns to make predictions on whether a given bone image contains cancer or not.
- During the inference phase, each model independently predicts the presence of cancer in a given bone image.
- The final prediction is determined by aggregating the individual model predictions through a majority voting scheme or averaging their outputs.
- The voting ensemble benefits from the diversity of the individual models, as they may capture different aspects or patterns related to bone cancer.
- By combining the predictions of multiple models, the ensemble can achieve better accuracy and

generalization compared to any single model.

7.3.2. Bagging:

- Bagging (bootstrap aggregating) is an ensemble learning method that involves training multiple models using subsets of the original training data.
- The subset are created through bootstrapping, which involves sampling the training data with replacement to create multiple diverse subsets.
- Each model is trained independently on a different subset of the data.
- During inference, the predictions of all the models are combined through voting or averaging to obtain the final prediction.
- Bagging helps reduce overfitting by introducing diversity in the training process. Each model is exposed to a slightly different set of training samples, which leads to more robust ensemble prediction. Common examples of bagging techniques include Random Forest, where decision trees are trained on different subsets of the data, and the predictions are aggregated to make the final decision.

7.3.3. Boosting:

- Boosting is an ensemble learning method that trains a sequence of models, with each subsequent model focusing on correcting the mistakes made by the previous models.
- Initially, all training samples are given equal weights, and the first model is trained on the entire dataset.
- During training, higher weights are assigned to the misclassified samples, and subsequent models are trained to focus on these challenging samples.
- The final prediction is obtained by combining the predictions of all the models, often through weighted voting or averaging.
- Boosting algorithms such as AdaBoost (Adaptive Boosting) and Gradient Boosting are commonly used in ensemble learning.
- Boosting helps improve the overall accuracy of the ensemble by iteratively adjusting the models to focus on the difficult samples, leading to better performance.

These ensemble learning methods can be combined with various base models, such as decision trees, support vector machines (SVM), or deep learning models, to create diverse and complementary predictions. The combination of multiple models helps capture different aspects of the bone cancer detection problem and enhances the overall performance of the system.

Below table summarizing the methods for ensemble learning in automatic bone cancer detection, along with their advantages, disadvantages.

Ensemble Learning Method	Description	Advantages	Disadvantages
Voting Ensemble	Multiple independent models are trained, and their predictions are aggregated through voting or averaging to obtain the final prediction.	Reduces the impact of individual model biases and errors.	Limited adaptability of pre-trained features to the specific bone cancer detection task.
Bagging	Multiple models are trained on subsets of the original training data created through bootstrapping, and their predictions are aggregated to obtain the final prediction.	Reduces overfitting by introducing diversity in the training process.	Requires additional computational resources for training and inference.
Boosting. (Zhang et al.2021.)	A sequence of models is trained, with each subsequent model focusing on correcting the mistakes of the previous models. The predictions of all models are combined to obtain the final prediction.	-Improves accuracy by iteratively adjusting the models to focus on challenging samples.	Prone to overfitting when the labeled data is limited.

7.4. Explainable AI (XAI)

7.4.1. Feature Importance:

- Feature importance methods aim to identify the most influential features or regions in the input data that contribute significantly to the model's predictions.
- Gradient-based approaches, such as Gradient-weighted Class Activation Mapping (Grad-CAM), analyze the gradients of the model with respect to the input data to identify regions that strongly influence the predictions.
- Perturbation-based methods, like Local Interpretable Model-Agnostic Explanations (LIME), generate local explanations by perturbing input features and observing the resulting changes in the model's predictions.
- Feature importance methods provide insights into the specific characteristics of bone images that are indicative of cancer, helping medical professionals understand which features the model relies on for making predictions.

7.4.2. Rule Extraction:

- Rule extraction methods are techniques designed to examine the decision logic of complex models and to produce human-readable rules or if-then statements.
- One of the most popular rule extraction methods is called decision trees, which form a tree-like structure in which each node corresponds to a decision made based on a particular feature and/or condition.
- On the other hand, rule-based ensemble models (e.g. Repeated Incremental Pruning to Produce Error Reduction – RIPPER) use a set of rules to increase accuracy and interpretability of the rules produced.
- The ability to extract rules can help medical experts understand the specific criteria or conditions that the model applied to classify bone images as cancerous or non-cancerous, which can help them gain insights into the decision-making process.

7.4.3. Model Visualization:

- Model visualization techniques give a visualization of the internal workings of the machine learning model.
- Techniques such as t-Distributed Stochastic Neighbor Embedding (t-SNE) project the high dimensional representations learned by the model to low dimensional space to visualize, and make it easier to understand similarities and relationships between bone images.
- Activation visualization methods (e.g., saliency maps) identify key regions or pixels in the images of the bones that contribute to the model's predictions. They assist in determining areas of interest that can be used to make the decision.
- Model visualization is the ability to visualize the learned representations, feature activations or decision boundaries of the model, to better understand how the model processes and analyzes the bone images. These XAI methods in automatic bone cancer detection enhance the transparency and interpretability of the AI system. They provide medical professionals with insights into the decision-making process of the model, enabling them to validate the predictions, understand the model's behavior, and make informed clinical decisions.

XAI Method	Description	Advantages	Disadvantages
Feature Importance Bilgic et al. (2022).	Identifies influential features or regions in the input data that significantly contribute to the model's predictions.	1. Provides insights into the specific characteristics of bone images that are indicative of cancer. 2. Helps in identifying the most relevant features for bone cancer detection. 3. Facilitates model validation and trust-building among medical professionals.	1. May not capture complex interactions between features. 2. Does not necessarily provide causal relationships between features and predictions. 3. Limited to feature-level interpretation and may not capture spatial relationships in images.

Rule Extraction	Distills the decision logic of complex models into human-readable rule statements.	1. Provides transparent and interpretable rules for bone cancer diagnosis. 2. Helps in understanding the specific criteria or conditions used by the model for classification. 3. Enables medical professional to validate and interpret the decision-making process.	1. May result in simplified rules that do not capture the full complexity of the model. 2. Extraction of rules may be challenging for deep learning models due to their complex structures. 3. Rule extraction techniques may not scale well with large and high-
Model Visualization Li, M., et al. (2021).	Provides visual representations of the internal workings of the machine learning model.	1. Facilitates understanding of the learned representations, feature activations, and decision boundaries of the model. 2. Helps in visualizing similarities and relationships between bone images. 3. Identifies important regions or pixels that contribute to the model's predictions.	1. Visualization techniques may be subjective and require interpretation. 2. Visualizations may not provide a comprehensive understanding of the entire model. 3. Interpretability may vary depending on the complexity of the visualization technique

7.5. Few-Shot Learning

Few-shot learning is a machine learning approach that aims to address the challenge of learning from limited labeled data. In the context of bone cancer detection, few-shot learning methods can be utilized to develop models that can accurately classify bone cancer instances with only a small number of labeled examples. Here, I'll describe a few popular methods used in few-shot learning for bone cancer detection, along with relevant citations for further reading.

7.5.1. Meta-learning:

Meta-learning, or learning to learn, is a promising approach for few-shot learning. It involves training a model on multiple related tasks, enabling it to learn generalized knowledge that can be quickly adapted to new tasks. One popular meta-learning algorithm for few-shot learning is MAML (Model-Agnostic Meta-Learning) proposed by Finn et al. in 2017 [1]. MAML trains a model to learn good initialization weights that can be fine-tuned using a small amount of data from a new task.

7.5.2. Metric-based approaches:

Metric-based approaches aim to learn a distance metric in a high-dimensional feature space that can effectively compare and classify samples. Prototypical Networks, introduced by Snell et al. in 2017 [2], is a well-known metric-based few-shot learning method. It constructs a prototype representation for each class by computing the mean of the embedded features of the class samples. During inference, new samples are assigned to the class with the closest prototype.

7.5.3. Data augmentation:

Data augmentation techniques can be employed to artificially increase the amount of labeled data available for training few-shot learning models. By applying transformations like rotations, translations, or scaling to the available labeled examples, the model can learn to generalize better. One study by Jin et al. in 2018 [3] explored the effectiveness of data augmentation in few-shot learning and demonstrated improved performance in various tasks.

7.5.4. Generative models:

Generative models (e.g. generative adversarial networks (GANs) and variational autoencoders (VAEs)) can be used to extend the labeled dataset with extra samples for few-shot learning. GANs can synthesize samples with realistic distribution as that of the training set, and VAEs can synthesize samples by decoding in the latent space representation. In 2018, Qiao et al. proposed a method that combines few-shot learning and generative models by introducing a model named F-VAEGAN for few-shot classification task [4].

Sr.No.	Method	Advantage	Disadvantage
1	Meta-learning	Enables fast adaptation to new tasks	Requires a large number of related tasks for effective meta-training
2	Metric-based approaches	Learns a discriminative distance metric for effective classification	May struggle with high-dimensional feature spaces
3	Data augmentation	Increases the available labeled data for training	Augmented samples may not accurately represent the true distribution of the bone cancer data
4	Generative models	Can generate additional samples to augment the labeled dataset	Generated samples may lack variability and may not cover the full range of bone cancer instances

8. Datasets

Sr.No.	Dataset Name	Description	Modality(s)	Number of Samples	Availability
1	Osteosarcoma Dataset	Histopathology images of osteosarcoma bone tumors	Histopathology	500	Available upon request
2	RSNA Bone Age	Hand X-ray images for bone age assessment	X-ray	12,611	Available through RSNA Radiology AI Sandbox
3	MURA	Upper extremity X-ray images for abnormality	X-ray	14,863	Available through Stanford ML
4	DDSM Calcification	Mammogram images with calcification clusters	Mammography	49	Digital Database for Screening Mammography (DDSM)
5	NIH Chest X-rays	Chest X-ray images for common thoracic diseases	X-ray	112,120	National Institutes of Health (NIH)
6	INbreast	Mammogram images for breast cancer detection	Mammography	410	INbreast Dataset
7	LIDC-IDRI	CT scan images of lung nodules for lung cancer	CT scan	1,018	The Cancer Imaging Archive
8	ISIC 2019 Skin Lesion	Dermoscopy images for skin lesion classification	Dermoscopy	25,331	International Skin Imaging Collaboration

9	Brain Tumor MRI	MRI scans of brain tumors for brain cancer detection	MRI	253	Kaggle
10	DeepLesion	CT scan images of various lesions for lesion detection	CT scan	32,735	National Institutes of Health (NIH)

9. Challenges and future directions

The detection of bone cancer using machine learning (ML) is an intriguing problem with both challenges and potential future directions. Another big hurdle is the availability of high-quality and diverse datasets of various types of bone cancer, its various stages and imaging modalities. Having limited annotated data can be challenging for the development and generalization of ML models. Moreover, the interpretability of ML algorithms for bone cancer detection is still a challenge, due to the lack of transparency of complex models in the decision-making process. Solving these challenges will need a joint effort of healthcare providers, researchers, and data scientists to create more comprehensive and representative datasets and explainable ML techniques. As ML algorithms continue to improve and more sophisticated, such as deep learning architectures, in the future, they can help further improve the accuracy and efficiency of bone cancer detection. Multi Modal imaging: The combination of different imaging modalities such as X-ray, CT, MRI and PET can give a better picture of integration.

A thorough knowledge of bone tumors. Furthermore, the use of transfer learning and few-shot learning can help address the challenge of small labeled datasets, by learning from a pre-trained model and transferring that knowledge to the bone cancer detection task. Ongoing research is needed to create powerful ML algorithms to support clinicians in early bone cancer patients' detection, accurate diagnosis and treatment planning.

Conclusion

In conclusion, machine learning (ML) techniques have shown promising results in bone cancer detection, aiding in early diagnosis, accurate characterization, and treatment planning. Researchers have used ML models trained on diverse and annotated datasets to analyze the various imaging modalities including X-ray, CT, MRI, and PET, and help healthcare professionals make informed decisions. However, there are many obstacles to overcome, including the lack of data, a lack of understanding of how complex models can be interpreted, and a need for large-scale validation studies. Larger and more representative datasets should be curated in the future with the goal of developing explainable ML algorithms, and combining multi-modal imaging to gain a fuller understanding of bone tumors. ML algorithms like deep learning architecture, transfer learning and few-shot learning have potential for further enhancing the accuracy and efficiency of bone cancer detection. The field of bone cancer detection using ML is advancing, and collaborations between researchers, clinicians, and data scientists are vital in this regard, helping to bring techniques into the realm of clinical practice.

References

1. Zheng, Q., Yang, L., Zeng, B., Li, J., Guo, K., Liang, Y., & Liao, G. (2021). Artificial intelligence performance in detecting tumor metastasis from medical radiology imaging: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *EClinicalMedicine*, 31, 100669.
2. Hinton, G., Vinyals, O., & Dean, J. (2015). Distilling the knowledge in a neural network. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1503.02531*.
3. Freund, Y., & Schapire, R. E. (1997). A decision-theoretic generalization of on-line learning and an application to boosting. *Journal of computer and system sciences*, 55(1), 119-139.
4. Bilgic, E., Gorgy, A., Yang, A., Cwintal, M., Ranjbar, H., Kahla, K., & Harley, J. M. (2022). Exploring the roles of artificial intelligence in surgical education: a scoping review. *The American Journal of Surgery*, 224(1), 205-216.
5. Muzaffar, A., Al-Fahoum, A., & Al-Sa'd, M. F. (2020). Bone Cancer Classification using Explainable AI based on Convolutional Neural Networks. *Journal of Ambient Intelligence and Humanized Computing*, 11(11), 5233-5243.
6. Li, M., et al. (2021). Explanation of Bone Cancer Classification Using CNN with Improved Grad-CAM Method. *Pattern Recognition Letters*, 147, 194-202.
7. Bilgic, E., Gorgy, A., Yang, A., Cwintal, M., Ranjbar, H., Kahla, K., ... & Harley, J. M. (2022). Exploring the roles of artificial intelligence in surgical education: a scoping review. *The American Journal of Surgery*, 224(1), 205-216.
8. Finn, C., Abbeel, P., & Levine, S. (2017, July). Model-agnostic meta-learning for fast adaptation of deep networks. In *International conference on machine learning* (pp. 1126-1135). PMLR.
9. Snell, J., Swersky, K., & Zemel, R. (2017). Prototypical networks for few-shot learning. *Advances in neural information processing systems*, 30.

10. Fu, Y., Wei, Y., Wang, G., Zhou, Y., Shi, H., & Huang, T. S. (2019). Self-similarity grouping: A simple unsupervised cross-domain adaptation approach for person re-identification. In *proceedings of the IEEE/CVF international conference on computer vision* (pp. 6112-6121).
11. Qiao, S., Liu, C., Shen, W., & Yuille, A. L. (2018). Few-shot image recognition by predicting parameters from activations. In *Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition* (pp. 7229-7238).
12. (dataset) Rajpurkar, P., Irvin, J., Zhu, K., Yang, B., Mehta, H., Duan, T., ... & Ng, A. Y. (2017). MURA: large dataset for abnormality detection in musculoskeletal radiographs. arXiv preprint arXiv:1712.06957.
13. Ferreira, D., König, C., & Wrobel, S. (2018). Evaluation of mammographic mass clustering algorithm using simulated calcification clusters. *Proceedings of the International Joint Conference on Neural Networks (IJCNN)*, 1-7. (dataset) <https://nihcc.app.box.com/v/ChestXray-NIHCC>.