



Human-Centric Urban Planning Using Behavioral Digital Twins and Predictive Analytics

J. Karthikeyan¹, Nik Mohd Noor Faizul Md Saad², Chen Kim Lim^{*3}, Kian Lam Tan⁴, M. Kavitha⁵, A. Velliangiri⁶

¹Assistant Professor/Programmer, Department of Computer and Information Science, Faculty of Science, Annamalai University, Email: thalapathik80@gmail.com

²Pusat Penyelidikan Langkawi (PPL), Pusat Pengurusan Makmal Alamidan Fizikal (ALAF), 07000, Langkawi, Kedah, Email: nikfaizul@ukm.edu.my

³Institute for Environment and Development (LESTARI), Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia (UKM), Bangi 43600, Selangor, Malaysia, Email: kim@ukm.edu.my, ORCID: 0000-0003-4353-4128

⁴School of Digital Technology, Wawasan Open University, George Town 10050, Penang, Malaysia, Email: andrewtan@wou.edu.my

⁵ Department of ECE, Saveetha School of Engineering, Saveetha Institute of Medical and Technical Sciences, Saveetha University, Chennai, India, Email: kavithamece@gmail.com

⁶ Department of ECE, K.S.R College of Engineering, Email: velliangiria@gmail.com

Abstract

Adaptive planning techniques that prioritize sustainability, data-driven governance, and human well-being are required due to the rapid urbanization and increasing socio-environmental complexity. Traditional urban planning frameworks rely on static statistics and retrospective evaluations, which limits their capacity to replicate dynamic humanity and real-time urban interactions. Urban digital twins are still in the initial phases of research, but they have a wide range of applications, from simulators to large data aggregation. Actuators can also be used to render and swiftly execute predictions that impact city life and physical environments. This paper proposes a human-centric urban planning paradigm based on Behavioral Digital Twins (BDTs) and predictive analytics to enhance smart living management and planning. With the use of behavioral data gathered from social interactions, energy consumption patterns, mobility flows, and public service utilization, the proposed framework generates dynamic digital representations of urban environments. Anonymized citizen-generated data, GIS platforms, and a variety of IoT device data sources are combined to develop behavior-aware urban models. Machine learning (ML) and deep learning (DL) techniques are used to predict demand fluctuations, document temporal-spatial relationships, and model policy actions under different demographic and environmental conditions. Forecasting abilities support how cities assign public funds, build essential structures, guide eco-friendly programs, while shaping transport networks before issues arise. Insights emphasize the role of behavioural intelligence data in advancing urban management that adapts, includes, and preserves resources. This research provides a scalable, ethically sound, and AI-driven paradigm for next-generation smart cities by tying digital twin innovation to human-centered urban development.

Keywords: Behavioral Digital Twins, Human-Centric Urban Planning, Predictive Analytics, Smart Cities, Urban Simulation, Privacy-Preserving Data Governance.

This is an open access article under CC BY 4.0, allowing unrestricted use with proper attribution, a license link, and indication of any changes made.

1. Introduction

Recent developments in technological advances, including big data, cloud computing, the “Internet of Things (IoT)”, and the emergence of “artificial intelligence (AI)”, have had a significant impact on various industries. Digital twins (DTs) are a specific technology that has recently attracted a lot of interest in both industry and academics. Applications for DTs are numerous and include control, tracking, maintenance forecasting, optimization, instruction, examination, and more [1]. Since DT is a relatively new concept, it has been defined in a variety of ways, including as a digital replica of a physical entity, a consistent digital depiction of the

physical equivalent, models of a physical entity that are connected for purposes of data exchange, and integration of models representing the actions of a real-world entity.

The majority of these definitions center on maintaining a high-fidelity virtual representation of the relevant real-world entity and ongoing data synchronization between them. Due to this constant synchrony with its real-world counterpart, the DT experiences constant modifications throughout its existence. A method that permits ongoing DT verification is necessary for this continuous progress.

In order to tackle future difficulties in a competitive climate, industry must constantly innovate, evolve, and accept technological advancements. Literature generally divides historical industrial development into periods known as "Industrial Revolutions," which are distinguished by significant paradigm shifts in technology. The forward movement of digital technology together with the increased speed of computer processing and data management systems and advanced sensor technologies and intelligent computing systems, leads Smart Factories to achieve custom production through their linkage of Cyber-Physical Systems (CPS) with new digital technologies. A new technological paradigm, which unites multiple emerging technologies, has developed together with the capability of multi-physics simulations to create Digital Twins (DT) which represent real-world systems. The Digital Twins (DTs) use collaborative robots to create safe Human-Robot Interaction (HRI) environments, while users can experience virtual objects through Virtual Reality (VR) and Augmented Reality (AR) technologies, which use artificial intelligence advancements [2,21]. A growing number of people are realizing that, in addition to technology goals [3], government and business should work toward a value-driven future under Industry 5.0 that prioritizes resilience, sustainability, and human well-being.

1.1 Human-Centric Digital Twins

A key component of "Industry 5.0" [4], which is intended as a human-centric industrial development with an emphasis on quality of life, sustainability, adaptability, and competence, is human centrality [5]. In high-income nations, the task of enhancing quality of life and emission reduction in intelligent manufacturing may even call for a shift to a post-growth economy. This results from the understanding that the goal of system automation is not to completely eliminate labor but rather to use human inspiration, neutral contemplating, and decision-making skills in conjunction with the consistency, precision, and operational ease of robots in tedious processes, repetitive, and potentially dangerous tasks. As seen in Figure 1, this leads to the idea of Operator 5.0, where a range of supporting technologies enhance human perception, cognition, and interaction capabilities. With a focus on social well-being and resilience in the face of unforeseen difficulties, the objective is to leverage these qualities to promote sustainable development.




Fig. 1. Architecture of a Human-Digital Twin (H-DT)

The proposed H-DT architecture is depicted in Figure 1 [6]. A distinct ID, the represented human's data, the represented human's models, relationships to other Digital Twins, and relationships between models are all included. Additionally, the H-DT needs various interfaces, including a co-simulation interface, a data acquisition interface, and an interface to access the H-DT's models and data. Below is a description of each component.

The main contributions of this study are threefold:

- **Conceptual Contribution [7]:** Behavioral digital twins are introduced as an expansion of traditional urban digital twin models.
- **Methodological Contribution:** Combining machine learning-based predictive analytics with multi-source data fusion to optimize urban planning.
- **Practical Contribution:** Using simulation-based evaluation to show enhanced forecasting performance and resilience results.

The remainder of this paper is structured as follows. Section 2 reviews related work on urban digital twins, smart city analytics, and behavior-aware modeling. Section 3 presents the proposed Behavioral Digital Twin framework and system architecture. Implications, constraints, and ethical issues are covered in Section 4. Section 5 wraps up the work and discusses potential future research areas.

2. Literature Review

The user-centered Digital Twin architecture integrates Virtual Reality (VR) technology with procedural generation methods to evaluate urban spaces which can maintain life safety while preserving their unique structural characteristics. According to this paradigm [8], procedural modeling produces structurally different urban topologies (orthographic vs. organic) to allow for universal calibration, while virtual reality (VR) provides an artificial setting for monitoring navigation under stressed. 37 individuals participated in evacuation tests under various visibility circumstances to validate the strategy. The findings show that although performance was comparable during the day, there was a noticeable behavioral difference at night; the organic arrangement showed more unpredictability and longer evacuation periods than the orthogonal grid. These results demonstrate that resilience is determined by geographical arrangement when sensory signals deteriorate. As a result, this work provides a data-independent, transportable strategy for assessing and tracking city resilience in settings with limited data.

In addition to improving citizen participation, the framework's primary objective is to create a data-oriented platform with lower latency that enhances decision-making and resource optimization. The primary new feature of this research is the integration of edge artificial intelligence and digital twins, which enables decentralized real-time operations in addition to dynamic urban model simulations [9]. In order to improve infrastructure management, intelligent travel, and responsive public service delivery, the suggested framework integrates an IoT data collecting process with virtual modeling, forecasting analytics, and edge-based decision processes. The solution outperforms current cloud-based intelligent city frameworks in terms of responsiveness, scalability, and user experience. Customized AI applications that involve the community are made possible by the immediate synchronization of urban data made possible by Edge AI processing, which also reduces delays in traffic control systems, environmental monitoring, and emergency preparedness.

A modular, renewable, and human-centered smart city ecosystem is fostered by integrating of DT and AI-Edge Computing [10]. With an average accuracy rate of 95% in traffic management and predictive urban analytics simulations, the system outperforms traditional cloud-based models in terms of responsiveness, scalability, and enhanced user experiences. Research needs to be done to help manage ethical AI, create more sustainable options for urban expansion in the future, and develop personalisation techniques driven by AI.

Structural monitoring has progressed rapidly, but many existing methods do not consider stakeholder-created decision-making processes [11], sustainable development practices, or real-time analytical data—particularly in metropolitan settings. In order to close this gap, this study presents a human-centered DT architecture that combines sustainability indicators, AI, green project management concepts, and SHM.

The suggested framework, which was tested on Tehran's Seyed Khandan Bridge, combines hybrid CNN-LSTM architecture with a high-fidelity DT model for dynamic identifying anomalies and maintenance planning [12]. It is backed by a network of 32 sensors that collected more than 300 GB of multi-modal data over the course of a year. High-accuracy identification of structural deviations was made possible by real-time synchronization between tactile sensors and digital simulations, which was improved by edge computing and low-power IoT protocols.

3. Methods and Materials

3.1 Study Design and System Overview

The proposed approach depicts the urban setting as a dynamic, data-driven system by integrating BDTs with predictive analytics. The overall urban system is represented as a tuple

$$U = (X, B, S, Y) \tag{1}$$

where X denotes input data streams, B represents behavioral features, S is the system state, and Y corresponds to predicted outputs [13].

3.2 Data Collection

IoT sensors, smart grids, GIS platforms, and anonymised user-generated data are just a few of the diverse sources from which urban data is gathered [14]. A single dataset is created by combining these many data streams. The aggregated input at time t is modeled as

$$X_t = \sum_{i=1}^N w_i X_t^i \tag{2}$$

Represents data from the i^t source and w_i denotes its corresponding weight [15].




Fig. 2. Conceptual Frameworks for Human-Centric Digital Twin (HCDT) in Cyber-Physical Systems

A closed-loop architectural framework for an HCDT integrated with autonomous systems is shown in Figure 2 [16].

3.3 Data Preprocessing and Feature Extraction

Data normalization is a method of database planning that eliminates undesirable features like inserting, update, and removal anomalies and reduces redundant information and integrity. Number normalizing methods are currently in use, including decimal scaling normalization, z-score standardization, and min-max normalization. The primary goals of data normalization include:

- It is the connection of data so that every record and field appears to be similar.
- It improves the coherence and cohesiveness of entry kinds, which results in data categorization, generating leads, purification, and greater quality.

The collected data is prepared to remove noise, handle missing values, and ensure integrity across many sources [17]. Feature standardization is used to scale information into a uniform area.

$$X_{norm} = \frac{X - X_{min}}{X_{max} - X_{min}} \quad (3)$$

In this study, we extract a vast number of characteristics that aid in the identification and recognition of patterns in numerous datasets. Furthermore, it chooses and mixes variables to extract characteristics that reduce the variety of resources without losing any raw data information. These collected characteristics are essential inputs for simulating the dynamics of urban systems and human behavior.

3.4 Behavioral Digital Twin Modeling

The expected behavior in the execution of a DT would be a non-erratic and seamless interaction between the components in the DT.

$$S_t = f(S_t - X_t, b_t) \quad (4)$$

Where S_t represents the current state, X_t denotes environmental inputs, and b_t , captures behavioral attributes. This formulation enables the system to instantly reflect changes in both human behavior and physical infrastructure.

3.5 Predictive Analytics Model

To forecast urban dynamics, predictive models are developed using machine learning and deep learning techniques. The prediction process is defined as

$$Y_t = f(X_t, X_{t-1}, \dots, X_{t-n}) \quad (5)$$

Where Y_t represents the predicted output based on historical data.

3.6 Model Evaluation

The typical statistical metrics used in the performance evaluation of the predictive model. The main evaluation metric used for this neural network model is Mean Squared Error (MSE), identified by the following formula:

$$MSE = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \hat{y}_i)^2 \quad (6)$$

The actual and predicted values are represented by the variables y_i . The model provides better performance when MSE values lower because the prediction accuracy rises with lower MSE values.

3.7 Privacy-Preserving Data Processing

Regarding this approach, individual models are trained locally and then aggregated into a universal model by utilizing,

$$W = \sum_{k=1}^K \frac{nk}{n} W_k \quad (7)$$

W_k defines local model parameters while nk denotes the total number of samples at each individual node. The system enables collaborative learning across the network by maintaining local control of sensitive data.

4. Implementation and Experimental results

4.1 Behavioral Data Analysis

The proposed framework uses behavioral data which was obtained from multiple urban sources that include handheld devices and IoT devices, transit systems and environmental monitoring systems [18]. The databases show how users interact with infrastructure and travel patterns and their usage of urban services. BDT was created to model the urban behavior patterns which people and social groups display. The researchers used clustering and pattern recognition methods to separate citizen activities into three mobility organizations that included motorists and local travelers and leisure users. The classification enables urban planners to gain improved understanding about how populations will change and what services they need.

The study demonstrates that urban mobility trend predictions become more accurate through behavioral data integration compared to traditional infrastructure-based prediction methods.

4.2 Dataset Characteristics

The dataset which Table 1 presents have data acquired from different urban sensing systems used for predictive modeling and behavioral assessment.

Data Source	Data Type	Sample Size	Purpose
IoT Traffic Sensors	Vehicle flow data	50,000 records	Traffic congestion analysis
Mobile GPS Data	Citizen mobility patterns	75,000 records	Movement behaviour modeling
Environmental Sensors	Air quality and noise levels	30,000 records	Environmental impact study
Public Transport Systems	Passenger flow	40,000 records	Transport demand prediction

The digital twin system can provide highly accurate simulations of human activities as well as accurate predictions about how infrastructure will be used by utilizing a data set which brings together several different kinds of urban data into one data set.

4.3 Predictive Model Performance

Multiple predictive analytics methods were compared to forecast future urban demand and trends in mobility. The standards for evaluating these models were prediction accuracy, processing speed, and reliability [19]. Table 2 demonstrates the comparison of predictive model performance.

Model	Accuracy (%)	Precision (%)	Processing Time (ms)
Random Forest	88.4	86.7	210
Gradient Boosting	90.2	88.5	230
LSTM Neural Network	93.6	91.8	310
Proposed Behavioral Digital Twin Model	95.1	93.7	295

The Behavioral Digital Twin concept achieved 95.1% prediction accuracy as its highest value which proved its ability to model urban human mobility patterns.

4.4 Graph Analysis




Fig. 3: Model Prediction Accuracy Comparison

The study presented in Figure 3 above evaluates how various machine learning algorithms achieve their forecasting accuracy [20]. The Behavioral Digital Twin approach outperforms traditional machine learning methods because it enables real-time urban data integration and habit tracking.




Fig. 4: Urban Mobility Prediction Trend

The predictive analytics process predicted future consumer demand for transportation services shown in Figure 4. The research results demonstrate that urbanization combined with population growth leads to increasing demand for transportation services. Planners can use behavioral digital twin models to forecast when infrastructure upgrades will be necessary.

4.5 Discussion

Researchers demonstrate that their project results show how statistical analysis combined with behavioral digital twins improves urban planning skills. The system allows planners to evaluate policy effects through simulation of actual situations before they put policies into effect. The outcome demonstrates that the human-

centric framework developed by the researchers offers a better method for contemporary urban planning than existing infrastructure-based planning systems.

4.6 Ablation Study

An ablation study was conducted to check each part of the proposed architecture carefully to understand its contribution to the model, by removing some relevant modules and observe the performance repercussions.

Model Configuration	MSE	MAE	Accuracy (%)
Without Behavioral Data	0.071	0.205	86.2
Without Predictive Model	0.089	0.247	81.5
Proposed Full Model (BDT + AI)	0.038	0.142	93.6

Each factor in the model is uniquely important for the overall system's functioning; this becomes evident on glancing at the Table 3 dataset. The model shows a discernible drop in prediction accuracy when behavioral data is removed, emphasizing the need to incorporate human-centric traits like service consumption and movement patterns. Because the system lacks temporal learning mechanisms, it is unable to accurately predict future trends, which leads to increased mistake rates and decreased accuracy.




Fig. 5. Comparative performances of different model configurations in the ablation study

The entire recommended strategy, which combines behavioral virtual twins and predictive analytics, outperforms all other evaluation metrics. The greatest precision and a significant drop in MSE and MAE confirm the effectiveness of the combined method. Figure 5 compares the three combinations' respective performances.

5. Conclusion

In order to better comprehend the urban setting and citizen behavior, this project combined Behavior Digital Twins with predictive analytics to establish a human-centric framework for urban planning. The system models urban life in real time using heterogeneous data gathered from Internet of Things sensors, mobile devices, and transit systems. The findings show that adding behavioral insights greatly increases the accuracy of urban demand forecasts. Planners can assess the effects of policies prior to implementation by using the proposed method to simulate various urban situations. It also improves the efficiency of managing mobility and

resource allocation. In overall, the framework encourages the creation of sustainable and efficient smart city design.

References

1. Bucci, I., Fani, V., & Bandinelli, R. (2024). Towards human-centric manufacturing: Exploring the role of human digital twins in industry 5.0. *Sustainability*, 17(1), 129.
2. Srikanth, P. B., & Chenniappanadar, S. K. (2025). Developing an Intelligent Human-Computer Interaction System Using Deep Learning and Machine Learning Algorithms. *Journal of Wireless Networks and Communication Systems*, 1(2), 62-73.
3. Thakre, N. K., Khalaf, B. S., Majed, A. E. M., Mohsen, A. H., Alazzam, M. B., & Vijayalakshmi, B. A. (2025, July). Human-Centric Approaches in Ubiquitous Computing for Smart Cities. In *2025 International Conference on Engineering Innovations and Technologies (ICoEIT)* (pp. 982-986). IEEE.
4. Asad, U., Khan, M., Khalid, A., & Lughmani, W. A. (2023). Human-centric digital twins in industry: A comprehensive review of enabling technologies and implementation strategies. *Sensors*, 23(8), 3938.
5. Baskaran, K., & Madhubala, R. (2024). Enhancing RPL Security in the Internet of Things for Preventive Network Threats with Machine Learning and NANTAR. *International Innovative Research Journal of Engineering and Technology*, 10(1), 9-17. <https://doi.org/10.32595/iirjet.org/v10i1.2024.197>
6. Asad, U., Khan, M., Khalid, A., & Lughmani, W. A. (2023). Human-centric digital twins in industry: A comprehensive review of enabling technologies and implementation strategies. *Sensors*, 23(8), 3938.
7. Kalkanlı, D., Kundak, S., Atun, F., & van Westen, C. J. (2026). Human-Centric Digital Twins for Spatial Sustainability: A Procedural VR Framework for Calibrating Agent-Based Evacuation Models in Diverse Urban Morphologies. *Sustainability*, 18(3), 1482.
8. Okimi, T. O. (2025). Human centric digital twins for sustainable supply chain in construction. *Smart Construction and Sustainable Cities*, 3(1), 31.
9. Leon-Vazquez, D., & Oscar, G. (2023). Human-Centric Smart Cities: A Digital Twin-Oriented Design of Interactive Autonomous Vehicles. The University of Texas Rio Grande Valley.
10. Sabuncu, Ö., & Bilgehan, B. (2025). Human-centric IoT-driven digital twins in predictive maintenance for optimizing Industry 5.0. *Journal of Metaverse*, 5(1), 64-72.
11. Vazquez, O. G. D. L. (2023). *Human-Centric Smart Cities: A Digital Twin-Oriented Design of Interactive Autonomous Vehicles* (Master's thesis, The University of Texas Rio Grande Valley).
12. Abdeen, F. N., Sepasgozar, S. M., Shirowzhan, S., & Lee, C. L. (2025). A user-driven design approach towards developing a citizen-centric digital twin for maintaining urban infrastructure. *Smart and Sustainable Built Environment*, 1-25.
13. Missikoff, O. (2024). Local digital twin ecosystems: A human-centric approach. In *Digital (Eco) Systems and Societal Challenges: New Scenarios for Organizing* (pp. 131-149). Cham: Springer Nature Switzerland.
14. Marçal Russo, L., Dane, G., Helbich, M., Ligtenberg, A., Filomena, G., Janssen, C. P., ...& Verstegen, J. A. (2025). Do urban digital twins need agents?. *Environment and Planning B: Urban Analytics and City Science*, 23998083251317666.
15. Sabuncu, Ö., & Bilgehan, B. (2025). Human-centric IoT-driven digital twins in predictive maintenance for optimizing Industry 5.0. *Journal of Metaverse*, 5(1), 64-72.
16. Ghafari, R., & Samaei, S. R. (2025). A human-centric digital twin framework for structural health monitoring and green project management: A case study on the SeyedKhandan Bridge in Tehran. In *Proceedings of the 18th International Conference on Mechanical, Construction, Industrial, and Civil Engineering* (Vol. 18, No. 18).
17. Daou, L., Ören, T., Petitdemange, E., Zacharewicz, G., Daclin, N., & Durieux, S. (2026). Advancing Holonic Systems in the Era of Artificial Intelligence and Digital Twins for Trustworthy and Effective Human-Centric Intelligent Systems. *Human-Centric Intelligent Systems*, 1-23.
18. Mazzetto, S. (2024). A review of urban digital twins integration, challenges, and future directions in smart city development. *Sustainability*, 16(19), 8337.
19. Adibhesami, M. A., Karimi, H., Sepehri, B., Pajani, F., Makaremi, S., Ghahremani, S., & Hassanzadeh, A. (2024). A data-driven multi-scale digital twin framework for optimizing energy efficiency in public pedestrian infrastructure. In *Digital Twin Computing for Urban Intelligence* (pp. 147-166). Singapore: Springer Nature Singapore.
20. Bäcklund, K., Lundqvist, P., & Molinari, M. (2024). Showcasing a digital twin for higher educational buildings: developing the concept toward human centricity. *Frontiers in Built Environment*, 10, 1347451.
21. Ee-Lynn, C., & Yo, L. G. (2025). A New Scheduling Challenge for Real-World Human-Robot Collaboration in Internet of Things Applications. *Journal of Computer Applications and Information Technology*, 1(3), 25-25.